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ABSTRACT
Sentiment analysis systems pursue the goal of detecting emotions in a given text with machine learning approaches. These texts might include three kinds of emotions such as positive, negative and neutral. Entertainment oriented texts, especially movie reviews, contain huge amount of possible emotional information. In this study, we aimed to represent each movie reviews by using small number of features. For this purpose, information gain, chi-square methods have been implemented to extract features for decreasing costs of calculations and increasing success rate. In experiments, employed corpus includes Turkish movie reviews, support vector machine and naïve bayes had been employed for classification and F₁ score was used for performance evaluation. According to the experimental results, support vector machine achieved 83.9% performance value while classification of movie reviews in two (positive and negative) categories and also we obtained the 63.3% performance value while classification with support vector machine into three categories.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Sentiment Analysis (SA) can be defined as it is a process that provides extracting people emotions or attitudes by analyzing features on text, images or other things which includes sentiments. SA activities attract enterprises to observe market gaps and new opportunities. For human, emotions and opinions play important role for daily activities or decisions. The field of SA is widely used for commercial and social media fields. There is increasing number of blogs, reviews, forums, social network web pages, related data and information flow which have been created on Internet day by day and this type of huge information flow cannot be processed manually. Therefore, machine learning (ML) methods such as support vector machine (SVM) (Cortes, C and Vapnik, V., 1995) and naïve bayes (NB) (Lewis, 1998) can offer a solution for classifying sentiments on oversized data and information flow without using manpower.

According to our best knowledge, there have been several applications which are developed to satisfy the requirements of SA. These applications are implemented for different native languages, especially for English. In general, supervised ML methods are applied for SA such as SVM, NB, Maximum Entropy (ME) (Berger et al., 1996), K-nearest neighbor (kNN) (Cover, T., and Hart, P., 1967), and N-Gram language model (Suen, C. Y., 1979). There are two types of different SA methods such as lexicon based sentiment and ML based approaches. For the lexicon based analysis, some interesting studies can be summarized. In Turney’s (2002) study, calculation of semantic orientation was applied for prior polarity lexicon scores. Esuli et al. (2006) pointed out how to realize translating English DAL (Whissell, 1989) to other languages and calculating polarity scores. This solution was applied by Ghorbel et al. (2011) for French, Valdivia et al. (2012) for Spanish and Denecke (2008) for German language and also other languages had been successfully accomplished. For ML based methods, Pang et al. (2002) presented the method which arranges the traditional topic based text classification for sentiment classification by using classical ML methods such as Naïve Bayes, ME and SVM and also they achieved highest success rate by SVM. When we looked at from the first studies to present ones, sentiment classification success rates are changing between 60% and 86% percent (i.e. Pang et al., 2004; Pak and Paroubek, 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011; Narr et al., 2012; Saif et al., 2012; Becker et al., 2013; Habernal et al., 2013). In these studies, movie reviews, trip advisor, commercial ratings,
social media and SMS data were generally employed as experimental data. Additionally, they applied standard lemmatization and stemming methods and then features were selected by using bag of words method and also weighted features were used in some of them.

Actually, it is easy to reach related studies for English or other languages that belong to same language family. However, there are limited studies in SA for the Turkish. Erogul (2009) accomplished sentiment analysis with implementing SVM and some of natural language processing (NLP) techniques by collecting movie review data. He achieved 85% percent of success rate while classifying review data as only positive or negative. Also Boynukalin (2012) tried to improve results by creating a data set and adding new features which were created by using the morphological structure of Turkish Language. Cakmak et al. (2012) showed the relation between root of words, emotion symbols and sentences in Turkish Language, they investigated total 197 words which include emotions by using fuzzy logic technics. Vural et al. (2013) implemented lexicon based SA and they achieved nearly same as much as success rate with ML methods had. Ozsert et al. (2013) studied on SA by using technique of seed word by adding new words for each emotion category, Kaya et al. (2012) got a little bit lower results on SA from the previous researches by using NLP techniques and ML methods on political news data. When all of this studies are considered, SVM can been chosen as most efficient ML method for SA.

In this study, some successful feature selection methods such as information gain and chi-square were employed to select most representative features from the corpus. In other words, effect of feature selection methods on SA is discussed to present comparative results. To construct the corpus Turkish movie reviews were used and, SVM and NB used as classifier.

2. PROPOSED METHODS

2.1 Feature Selections Methods

The major activity in text mining is to classify document into the accurate category. Feature selection methods are widely used for gathering most valuable words for each category in text mining processes. They help to find most distinctive words for each category by calculating some variables on data. There are mostly employed methods such us Chi-Square, Information Gain, Gain Ratio, and Odd Ratio. In this study, two of them (information gain and chi-square) methods were employed because of their simplicity, less computational costs and their efficiency and, their formulas are shown on Eq. (1) and (2), respectively.

\[ IG = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{ac}{a+c} \log \frac{ac}{(a+c)(a+b)} + \frac{bd}{b+d} \log \frac{bd}{(b+d)(b+c)} + \frac{ce}{c+e} \log \frac{ce}{(c+e)(c+d)} + \frac{df}{d+f} \log \frac{df}{(d+f)(d+e)} \right) \]

\[ \text{Chi Square} = \frac{(ac-bd)^2}{(a+c)(b+d)(a+c)(b+d)} \]

As known in Eq. (1) and (2): \( N \) is Total number of sample reviews, \( a \) is number of documents in the positive category which contain this term, \( b \) is number of documents in the positive category which do not contain this term, \( c \) is number of documents in the negative category which contain this term, \( d \) is number of documents in the negative category which do not contain this term.

2.1.1 Implementing SVM and Dataset

SVM is a discriminative classifier formally defined by a separating hyperplane. In other words, it produces an optimal hyperplane which categorizes new examples by using given labeled training data (Cortes, C and Vapnik, V., 1995). SVM gets nearly 80% successes on classifying two categories and 60% for three categories on English (Agarwal, A. et al., 2011). That is why we have chosen SVM as a classifier on this study. There are several kernels and methods which are used in SVM. LibSVM on Weka (Holmes, G., et al., 1994) was used with C-SVC kernel and Linear \((u.v)\) option in this research.

In this study, movie review corpus was created by crawling the data source (www.beyazperde.com, 2014) and we got labeled data by owner of the reviews. For corpus operations, Ms-SQL 2012 Enterprise was used as the management tool. The system designed for SA can be summarized as it crawls reviews at first and then filtering processes are applied (stemmer and lemmatizer), after that feature selection is implemented and...
finally SVM procedures run. There are some methods like character based N-gram or spell check option of lemmatizer for eliminating suffixes and prefixes to find the root of word. However, we needed more effective stemming method in this study. In fact, some reviews include different style of writing like as abbreviations or slang expressions. At this point, Turkish lemmatizer named as “Zemberek” (Akin and Akin, 2007) presents two services which give more chance to control to sensitivity of catching word roots. First option is “fix the word” and it can able to correct mistakes which are made by writers and the second option is that it can offer suitable word if the word cannot fixed.

2.2 Experimental Results and Discussion

Constructed corpus includes total 219198 reviews about 5662 different movies. All of these reviews were labeled by the people who watched film and labels include ratings changing from 0.5 to 5. We assumed that (4.0, 4.5, 5.0) as positive, (2.5, 3.0, 3.5) as neutral and (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0) as negative comments. We had only 13350 reviews in set of negative category and we assumed its size as a minimum number of reviews which should select from the remaining categories (positive, neutral). As a result total 40050 reviews were collected from three categories and used for testing and training. Word number in one review is changing from 1 to 587. Average number of words used in a review is 26. After elimination of all special characters and suffixes from words with Zemberek, roots of words were obtained and total 44 comments could not be converted by Zemberek. After completing filtering phase, “Chi-Square” and “Information Gain were implemented on review data.”

Since we employ feature selection process on reviews, if any review has no meaningful words according to feature selection methods, vector of this review contain nothing. In other words, sparse vector structure was used for representing reviews in vector format and these sparse vectors were supplied as input to the SVM modeling. In first experiments binary classification (positive or negative) was applied and achieved F1 scores are given in Table 1. As can be seen from Table 1, SVM and applied feature selection metrics produce consistent results with previous studies. Thus we can conclude that feature selection methods reduce dimension of the input space, decrease complexity and computational cost without losing performance.

Table 1. Positive and Negative Classification Results for Feature Selection Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Top Feature Chosen</th>
<th># of Sparse Vector</th>
<th>Chi Square</th>
<th>Information Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The major discussion point about use of feature selection metrics is that how many features should be considered, because number of features affects number of reviews which can have no vector and it means the number of reviews which are not considered in classification process. We illustrated Fig.1 to advise a threshold for the number of feature which should be selected. As can be seen in Fig.1, use of 375 features for selection is suitable for small complex models with minimum ignored reviews. Additionally, use of 1000 feature can be advised to minimize number of ignored comments.
In the second experiments, selected features were weighted by using produced scores with employed methods and classification was performed with three classes. Additionally, NB was employed in this step with SVM to observe effect of classifier method and obtained results are given in Fig. 2. In this step, distinct feature number had been increased from 10672 to 11679 after adding neutral reviews in modeling. According to the averaged $F_1$ scores, classifier has little bit effect on the classification and SVM outperforms than NB in general (Fig. 2).

As a result, we obtained 83.9% $F_1$ score while classification of reviews into two categories and 63.3% for classification into three categories. These results are compatible with previous studies given in the first section and we can advise use of feature selection methods to reduce costs sourced from complexity with keeping success rates. In fact, these conclusions are not dependent on the language, because we did not use any language specific property. For this reason, proposed method is implementable for other languages.

### 3. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

Currently, sentiment analysis as known as opinion mining and it is one of hot topics in research issues. In this study, SA problem was addressed and use of feature selection metrics were discussed. Because, employed data in SA consists of texts and it means increasing complexity and effort while processing. Commonly used feature selection metrics such as information gain and chi-square were employed here and, SVM and NB were used as classifier. Based on the experimental results, we obtained 83.9% $F_1$ score for two (positive and negative) and 63.3% $F_1$ score for three (positive, negative, and neutral) categories. Actually, implementation of experiments on a single corpus constitutes a significant limitation factor. For this reason, we are planning to implement experiments on new Turkish corporuses as an extension of this study. Additionally, other feature selection methods except for employed ones here should be used and their effects on SA problem should be observed.
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